Sociology of health and medicine in Switzerland: **Trends and challenges**

Lausanne, HESAV, 2 October 2020

Welfare Regimes Modify the Association of Disadvantaged Adult-life Socioeconomic Circumstances on Self-rated Health in Old Age

Stefan Sieber¹, Boris Cheval¹, Dan Orsholits¹, Bernadette W. Van der Linden^{1,2}, Idris Guessous³, Rainer Gabriel¹, Matthias Kliegel^{1,2}, Marja J Aartsen⁴, Matthieu P. Boisgontier⁵, Delphine Courvoisier^{1,3}, Claudine Burton-Jeangros¹, Stéphane Cullati¹ UNIVERSITÉ

Contact: Stefan.Sieber@unige.ch



Objectives

To assess whether the associations between life-course SECs (early-life, young adult-life, middle age and old age) and risk of poor selfrated health (SRH) trajectories in old age

vary by welfare regime (Scandinavian [SC],

Bismarckian [BM], Southern European [SE], Eastern European [EE]).

Methods

- Longitudinal SHARE data
- Association of life-course SECs with poor SRH trajectories at old age was analysed by confounder-adjusted multilevel logistic
- regression models

indicators of living

conditions at age

10; occupational

number of books

position of the

overcrowding,

at home,

quality of

household.

Model 2: Education (young adult-life SEC) Model 3: Main occupation (middle-age SEC)

Model 1: Early-life SECs

- Model 4: Household income (old age SEC)
- Model 5: Adjusted for health behavior and living without partner

Life-course SEC indicators, health behaviors and living without partner were added sequentially as mediators to analyze the effect of each of this indicator on the association between earlylife SECs and SRH at old age. All models were adjusted for sex, birth cohort, living with biological parents at age 10, and attrition.

Stratified by welfare regime

24,737 Scandinavian participants aged

50 to 96 from 13 European countries. Early-life SEC score (most advantaged, advantaged, middle, Odds of poor SRH Without mediators disadvantaged, + Reference • Model 2 most South European disadvantaged) included 4 binary

s of poor SRH mediators 0.4 main breadwinner,

Odds of poor SRH Without mediators 0.8 0.6 0.4

Effect of early-life SECs on poor SRH attenuated by adult-life SECs. Above the dotted line, the effect of early-life SECs decreases when the indicators are included in the model. Below the dotted line, the effect of early-life SECs increases. Ref=Model1. MA=Most advantaged, A=Advantaged, M=Middle, MD=Most disadvantaged

0.6

0.2

Results

Risk of poor SRH increased gradually with earlylife SECs from most advantaged to most disadvantaged. **Bismarckian**

Education attenuated the association only in SC and SE regimes and occupation only in SC and BM regimes.

Satisfaction with household income attenuated the association across regimes.

Conclusion

Early-life is a critical period for health in old age in all welfare regimes. Adult-life SECs attenuated the consequences of this critical period differently across welfare

regimes.

¹ Swiss NCCR "LIVES - Overcoming Vulnerability: Life Course Perspectives", University of Geneva, Switzerland ² Center for the Interdisciplinary Study of Gerontology and Vulnerability, University of Geneva, Switzerland

³ Unit of Population Epidemiology, Department of Community Medicine, Primary Care and Emergency Medicine, Geneva University Hospitals, Switzerland

⁴ NOVA - Norwegian Social Research, Center for Welfare and Labor Research, Oslo, Norway ⁵ Brain Behaviour Laboratory, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada